

Advising the Congress on Medicare issues

MIPPA Update: Durable Medical Equipment Competitive Bidding

David Glass September 4, 2008

MECIPAC

DME in brief

- \$8.6 billion in fiscal year 2007
- Many small suppliers
- Indications prices in fee schedule too high
- OIG found error rate of 29 percent in sample of 2006 claims
- Fraud persistent problem
- Competitive bidding proposed to:
 - Improve pricing
 - Deter fraud



Competitive bidding for DME

- Demonstration 1999 2002
 - Prices dropped by 17 to 22 percent
 - No significant quality or access problems
 - MedPAC recommended expansion into the Medicare program—conditional on results of final evaluation
- Program 2008
 - MMA required phased in competitive bidding for DME
 - Round 1, 2008—10 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)
 - Round 2, 2009—70 additional MSAs



Round 1 (10 MSAs) results

- Over 6,000 bids submitted
 - 1,345 contracts 325 different suppliers
- Suppliers without a contract not eligible for Medicare DME payments
- Single payment rate for each item in MSA
- CMS estimated average 26 percent savings from fee schedule prices
- Savings varied by DME category, MSA
- Contracts went into effect July 1, 2008

Industry concerns about competition

- Estimated 4,500 suppliers in 10 MSAs—only 325 won contracts
- Lower prices—lower quality, financial distress
- Implementation problems:
 - Automated system difficulties
 - Financial stability disqualifications
 - Calculation of capacity and prices
- Contracts awarded to out-of-area suppliers and suppliers without experience in category



Response to concerns

- Significant savings for Medicare and beneficiaries
- More safeguards than current program:
 - Accreditation
 - Quality
 - Financial stability
- Reduced opportunity for fraud and abuse
- Winners worked through process, were willing to supply DME under new rules, stated any problems could be rectified without delay

Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008 (MIPPA)

- Terminated contracts awarded in round 1
- Delayed competitive bidding program
 - Round 1 in 2009
 - Round 2 in 2011
- Fee schedules amounts for competed items reduced by 9.5 percent nationwide (budget neutral to cost of delay)

Additional MIPPA changes

- OIG verification of pivotal bid and single payment amounts
- Feedback on missing financial documentation
- Accreditation and disclosure of subcontractors
- Exclusion of certain complex wheelchairs and offthe-shelf orthotics from competition
- Regulatory notice and comment to use information from competitive bidding to set rates in other areas
- Suppliers required to stock multiple types of diabetes test strips
- Others

